2010

Commissioner for Patents May 6, 2005 Page 9 1881-0203

REMARKS

Applicants appreciate the indication of allowance of claims 42-54 in the Final Office Action dated February 25, 2005. Claims 55-68 were objected to as being dependent upon finally rejected independent claim 55. By this amendment, dependent claim 56 was cancelled, and independent claim 55 was amended to incorporate the allowable subject matter of claim 56. It is believed that claim 55 is now allowable, along with its dependent claims 57-62.

Moreover, claim 63 was rewritten in independent form incorporating the limitations of claim 55. Since this claim was indicated to have been allowable as a dependent claim, is should now be in condition for allowance as a new independent claim. Claims 64-67 depend from allowable claim 63 and should be in condition for allowance as well.

Applicants have amended the necessary claims to place them in immediate condition for allowance. Thus, it is believed that this application is in condition for allowance, including claims 42-55 and 57-68. Action to that end is hereby solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael D. Beck
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 32,722

May 6, 2005

Maginot, Moore & Beck, LLP Bank One Center/Tower 111 Monument Circle, Suite 3000 Indianapolis, Indiana 46204-5115 Phone: (317) 638-2922 Fax: (317) 638-2139